# CANTOR-BENDIXSON DEGREES AND CONVEXITY IN $\mathbb{R}^2$

ΒY

MENACHEM KOJMAN

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel e-mail: kojman@cs.bgu.ac.il

#### ABSTRACT

We present an ordinal rank,  $\delta^3$ , which refines the standard classification of non-convexity among closed planar sets. The class of closed planar sets falls into a hierarchy of order type  $\omega_1 + 1$  when ordered by  $\delta$ -rank.

The rank  $\delta^3(S)$  of a set S is defined by means of topological complexity of 3-cliques in the set. A 3-clique in a set S is a subset of S all of whose unordered 3-tuples fail to have their convex hull in S. Similarly,  $\delta^n(S)$  is defined for all n > 1.

The classification cannot be done using  $\delta^2$ , which considers only 2-cliques (known in the literature also as "visually independent subsets"), and in dimension 3 or higher the analogous classification is not valid.

## 1. Introduction

Let S be a set in a linear space and suppose that S is not convex. One would like to measure how far S is from being convex. The most natural number for measuring non-convexity of a set S is the least number of convex subsets of S needed to cover S. Let us, then, define  $\gamma(S)$  as the least cardinality of a collection of convex sets whose union equals S. The function  $\gamma$  is adopted as the basic measurement of non-convexity. Classification by  $\gamma$  gives countably many different classes of sets with finite  $\gamma$  and (potentially) only two classes with infinite  $\gamma$ : sets with countable  $\gamma$  and sets with uncountable  $\gamma$ .

In this paper we define for each n > 1 a degree functions  $\delta^n$ , and show that  $\delta^3$  refines the  $\gamma$ -classification for closed, planar sets. The class

Received April 8, 1998

### M. KOJMAN

 $\{S: S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is closed and } \gamma(S) \leq \aleph_0\}$  is divided by  $\delta^3$  into  $\aleph_1$  sub-classes, while  $\{S: S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ is closed and } \gamma(S) > \aleph_0\}$  is a single  $\delta^3$ -class.

The first step in understanding the structure of a set S with  $\gamma(S) = \lambda$  is to understand why S fails to decompose into a union of fewer than  $\lambda$  convex sets.

There is an easy sufficient condition for S not to be a union of fewer than  $\lambda$  convex sets: the existence of a subset  $P \subseteq S$  of cardinality  $\lambda$ , with the property that for any two points in P the line segment connecting them is not contained in S. No two of those points can sit in the same convex subset of S, hence S is not a union of n convex sets. Call a subset of S with this property "visually independent". Let  $\alpha(S)$  be the supremum of cardinalities of all visually independent subsets in S.

Does  $\alpha$  measure non-convexity adequately? This can be rephrased as whether there exists a "reasonable" function f so that  $\gamma(S) \leq f(\alpha(S))$ .

For general sets this is badly false (see [6], Section 5), and also in "nice" sets in dimension 3 or higher the connection between  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  is not well behaved. Nevertheless, closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  show some tight connections between  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ . A long sequence of results [4, 9, 1, 2] culminated in the discovery [3] that  $\gamma(S) \leq f(\alpha(S))$  for some function f, for closed planar sets. Later it was shown that fis at most  $n^6$  in [8]. Recently,  $n^6$  was lowered to  $18n^3$  by Matousek and Valtr in [7], where also a lower bound of  $O(n^2)$  was set.

In sets which are not a finite union of convex sets, the connection between  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  is not as tight. There exist compact, planar sets with countable  $\alpha$  and uncountable  $\gamma$  ([6], Example 2.1). Put differently, the class of closed planar sets with countable  $\alpha$  contains also sets with uncountable  $\gamma$ . This means that the notion of visual independence does not capture all the information as to why a closed  $S \subseteq R^2$  cannot be covered by countably many convex subsets.

However, a generalization of visual independence does. Call a subset  $P \subseteq S$  a 3-clique if every 3-element subset  $X \subseteq P$  satisfies that its convex closure is not contained in S. Theorem 2.2 in [6] says that a closed set in the plane is not a countable union of convex sets if, and only if, it contains an uncountable (actually perfect) 3-clique. Namely, the *only* reason for such S not to be a countable union of convex sets is that it contains an uncountable 3-clique.

Since, by this theorem, the full information about non-convexity of a closed planar set is stored in the collection of its 3-cliques, it is natural to try and classify non-convexity of such sets by classifying their 3-cliques. It turns out that the standard topological classification of closed countable sets — the Cantor-Bendixson degree — indeed works: for every closed planar set which is a countable union of convex sets there exists a countable ordinal which bounds the Cantor-Bendixson degrees of all 3-cliques in S. This ordinal is, then, the degree of non-convexity of S.

1.1 STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS. Let S be a subset of a linear space. Call  $P \subseteq S$  an *n*-clique in S if  $n \ge 2$  and for every *n*-element subset X of P the convex closure of X is not contained in S. Let  $\delta^n(S)$  be the supremum of Cantor-Bendixson degrees of all *n*-cliques in S. Since every *n*-clique is also an n+1-clique,  $\delta^n(S) \le \delta^{n+1}(S)$  for all  $n \ge 2$ . The rank  $\delta(S)$  is the supremum over Cantor-Bendixson degrees of *n*-cliques for all *n*, that is,  $\delta(S) = \sup\{\delta^n(S) : n < \omega\}$ .

It is proved that for every closed set in a polish linear space, an uncountable  $\delta$ implies an uncountable  $\gamma$ . Surely, if  $\delta(S)$  is uncountable then  $\delta^n(S)$  is uncountable for some n. In the case of closed planar sets this n has to be  $\leq 3$ , by [6], theorem 2.2. Thus (Corollary 6 below), for a closed planar S, the rank  $\delta^3(S)$  is countable if and only if S is a countable union of convex sets. In other words,  $\delta^3$  refines  $\gamma$ on closed planar sets by breaking the class of closed  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\aleph_1 + 1$  classes, so that the top class is that of sets with uncountable  $\gamma$  and all smaller classes stratify the class of sets with countable  $\gamma$ . Since for countable sets the rank  $\delta^n$  clearly coincides with the usual Cantor-Bendixson degree, any closed set of Cantor-Bendixson degree  $\alpha$  is an example of a set with  $\delta^3(S) = \alpha$ ; is is easy to construct uncountable sets of degree  $\alpha$  as well.

Perles' Example 2.1 in [6], in which  $\gamma$  is uncountable yet  $\delta^2$  equals 1, shows that one cannot get similar classification with  $\delta^2$  instead of  $\delta^3$ . In dimension d > 2 a compact set may have  $\delta$ -rank 1 but still have an uncountable  $\gamma$  (see [6], Example 4.2). Thus, Corollary 6 is sharp in two senses: first,  $\delta^3$  cannot be weakened to  $\delta^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^2$  cannot be replaced by  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

The last remark suggests that classification of closed, non-convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  requires other methods. A more complicated rank function is needed to classify non-convexity of closed sets in *all* Polish vector spaces. Such rank function exists and will be presented in [5].

1.2 HISTORY. Infinite unions of convex sets were studied in [6]. We refer the reader to that paper for basic facts and examples concerning such sets.

The following problem is still open:

**PROBLEM 1:** Is it true that a closed planar set in which the closure of every visually independent subset is countable, is a countable union of convex sets?

This problem was asked by G. Kalai and only a very minor step towards solving it has been ([6], Theorem 4.2).

M. KOJMAN

1.3 Notation: Our notation is standard, except maybe for denoting the set of natural numbers by  $\omega$ . A topological space X is **polish** if it is complete, metric and separable. A **sequence** is a function whose domain is an initial segment of  $\omega$ . By  $n^{\omega}$  we denote the space of all infinite sequences over n symbols and by  $n^k$  the set of sequences of length k over n symbols. The space  $n^{\omega}$  is topologized by declaring the set of all infinite sequences that extend a given finite sequence as a basic open set. This topology is polish, by the metric which assigns to two sequences  $\eta, \nu \in n^{\omega}$  the distance 1/k where k is the first coordinate in which  $\eta$  and  $\nu$  are different. We write  $\eta \triangleleft \nu$  to denote that the sequence  $\eta$  is an initial segment of the sequence  $\nu$ , and by  $\eta \hat{\nu}$  the concatenation of  $\eta$  with  $\nu$  is denoted.

## 2. Cantor-Bendixson degrees and convexity

We begin by recalling the definition of the Cantor-Bendixson degree of a set S in some topological space X. A point  $x \in S$  is isolated in S, if there is an open neighborhood  $u \ni x$  so that  $S \cap u = \{x\}$ . By induction on ordinals define the  $\alpha$ -th derived subset of S:

- 1.  $S^{(0)} = S$ ,
- 2.  $S^{(\alpha+1)} = S^{(\alpha)} \{x : x \text{ is isolated in } S^{(\alpha)}\},\$
- 3. If  $\alpha$  is limit, then  $S^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} S^{(\beta)}$ .

Let  $\operatorname{rk}(S)$ , the **Cantor-Bendixson degree** of S, be the least ordinal  $\alpha$  for which  $S^{(\alpha)} = S^{(\alpha+1)}$ . Thus, for example, the Cantor-Bendixson degree of a set which is dense in itself is 0.

FACT 2: If S is a subset of a polish space and  $rk(S) = \alpha + 1$ , then there is a closed subset  $C \subseteq S$  with rk(C) = rk(S).

Given a set S and a point  $x \in S$ , the **degree of** x in S, which we denote by  $\operatorname{rk}_S(x)$ , is the last ordinal  $\alpha$  for which  $x \in S^{(\alpha)}$ , if x does not belong to  $S^{(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha$ ; if  $x \in S^{(\alpha)}$  for all  $\alpha$ , we say that  $\operatorname{rk}_S(x) = \infty$ . Clearly, for every set S and  $\beta < \operatorname{rk}(S)$  there are points  $x \in S$  with  $\operatorname{rk}_S(x) = \beta$  (but  $S^{\operatorname{rk}(S)}$  may be null).

We remark that a separable metric space is second countable, and therefore the Cantor-Bendixson degree of every set in such a space is always *countable*.

## 3. Proofs

Definition 3: Let S be a set in a topological vector space. Let  $\delta^n(S)$  be the supremum over all Cantor-Bendixson degrees of n-cliques in S. Let  $\delta(S) := \sup\{\delta^n(S) : n < \omega\}$ .

THEOREM 4: Suppose that S is a closed set in a polish linear space E and  $\gamma(S) \leq \aleph_0$ . Then  $\delta(S) < \omega_1$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $S \subseteq E$ , E is a polish linear space and  $\delta(S) = \omega_1$ . Let  $n \ge 2$  be the least so that  $\delta^n(S) = \omega_1$ . We may assume, then, that there are closed *n*-cliques of unbounded (countable) Cantor-Bendixson degrees in S.

LEMMA 5: Suppose that u is an open neighborhood in E and that u contains *n*-cliques in S of unbounded Cantor-Bendixson degrees. Then there exist open neighborhoods  $u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}$  such that for every i < n,  $\operatorname{cl} u_i \subseteq u$ ,  $u_i$  contains cliques of unbounded Cantor-Bendixson degrees and so that for every choice of  $y_i \in \operatorname{cl} u_i$ ,  $\operatorname{conv}(y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \not\subseteq S$ .

Proof of Lemma 5: Fix a countable base  $\mathcal{B}$  for the topology of E (e.g. all balls of rational radius and a center in some countable dense set).

Define now a mapping from  $\omega_1$  to *n*-tuples from  $\mathcal{B}, \beta \mapsto (u_i^{\beta}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\beta})$ , as follows. Let  $\beta < \omega_1$  be given. Choose first an *n*-clique  $P \subseteq u$  and *n* points in P,  $x_0^{\beta}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{\beta}$ , such that  $\operatorname{rk}_P(x_i^{\beta}) \geq \beta$ . Since the complement of S is open, there are open neighborhood  $u_i$  of  $x_i^{\beta}$  for i < n so that for every choice of  $y_i \in u_i$  it holds that  $\operatorname{conv}(y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \not\subseteq S$ . By shrinking each  $u_i$ , we may assume that  $u_i \in \mathcal{B}, \operatorname{cl} u_i \subseteq u$  and that  $\operatorname{conv}(y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \not\subseteq S$  for every choice of  $y_i \in \operatorname{cl} u_i^{\beta}$ . Let  $(u_i^{\beta}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\beta}) := (u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1})$ .

Since there are only countably many *n*-tuples from  $\mathcal{B}$ , there is a fixed *n*-tuple  $(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1})$  and an unbounded  $I \subseteq \omega_1$  so that  $(u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}) = (u_0^{\beta}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{\beta})$  for every  $\beta \in I$ .

Therefore, for every i < n and an ordinal  $\beta < \omega_1$ , there exists a closed clique P and a point  $x \in P \cap u_i$  with  $\operatorname{rk}_P(x) \ge \beta$ . Since  $u_i$  is open,  $\operatorname{rk}(P \cap u_i) \ge \beta$ . Therefore each  $u_i$  contains cliques of unbounded degrees.

Suppose that S is closed,  $\gamma(S) \leq \aleph_0$  and S contains *n*-cliques of unbounded degrees. By induction on k define neighborhoods  $u_\eta$  for  $\eta \in n^k$  so that:

- 1.  $d(u_{\eta}) < 1/k$  for all  $\eta, \nu \in n^k$ ,
- 2.  $\eta \triangleleft \nu \Rightarrow \operatorname{cl} u_{\nu} \subseteq u_{\eta}$ ,
- 3.  $u_{\eta}$  contains closed cliques in S of unbounded Cantor-Bendixson degrees,
- 4. if  $\eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{n-1}$  are distinct and agree up to k-1, then for every choice of  $y_i$  from cl  $f(\eta_i)$  the convex closure of  $\{y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}\}$  is not contained in S.

At stage k + 1 use Lemma 5 to find, for each  $\eta \in n^k$ , sub-neighborhoods  $\{u_n : i < \kappa\}$ , of  $u_\eta$ , which satisfy conditions 1-4 above.

Suppose now that  $u_{\eta}$  is defined for every finite sequence over n and define  $g: n^{\omega} \to S$  by  $g(\eta) := \bigcap_{k} u_{\eta \mid k}$ . Since E is complete, g is well defined. Since S is closed,  $g(\eta) \in S$  for every  $\eta \in n^{\omega}$ .

Suppose now that  $S = \bigcup_n C_n$ . The space  $n^{\omega}$  of all infinite sequences over n symbols is a complete separable metric space under the metrics  $d(\eta, \nu) = 1/k$  for the least k such that  $\eta(k) \neq \nu(k)$ . By the Baire category theorem, there is some index m so that  $f^{-1}(C_m)$  is somewhere dense. Choose some k and a sequence  $\nu \in n^k$  so that  $f^{-1}(C_m)$  is dense in  $\{\eta \in n^{\omega} : \nu \triangleleft \eta\}$ . For every i < n there must then be a sequence  $\eta_i$  so that  $\eta_i \upharpoonright k + 1 = \eta \ i$ , and  $f(\eta_i) \in C_m$ . But then  $f(\eta_i) \in u_{\eta \ i}$  by the definition of g, and therefore  $\operatorname{conv}(g(\eta_0), \ldots, g(\eta_{n-1})) \not\subseteq S$  by condition 4. Therefore  $C_m$  is not convex.

COROLLARY 6: A closed planar set is a countable union of convex sets if and only if  $\delta^3(S) < \omega_1$ .

*Proof:* One direction is proved above.

For the other direction, suppose that  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  is closed and is not a countable union of convex sets. By [6], Theorem 2.2 there is a perfect 3-clique  $P \subseteq S$ . Every subset of P is a 3-clique, and since P is perfect it contains countable sets of unbounded Cantor-Bendixson degrees.

We observe that Example 2.1 in [6] of a compact planar set S satisfies that  $\delta^2(S) = 1$  while  $\delta^3(S) = \omega_1$ . Hence, classification by  $\delta^2$  does not refine the classification by  $\gamma$ .

It is natural to ask at this point whether  $\delta^4(S)$  classifies non-convexity of closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  analogously to the manner  $\delta^3$  classifies closed sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This is false by Example 4.1 in [6] (see also [5]). This example is of a compact  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $\delta(S) = 1$  and  $\gamma(S) > \aleph_0$ .

## References

- M. Breen, An R<sup>d</sup> analogue of Valentine's theorem on 3-convex sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 24 (1976), 206-210.
- [2] M. Breen, A decomposition theorem for m-convex sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 24 (1976), 211–216.
- [3] M. Breen and D. C. Kay, General decomposition theorems for m-convex sets in the plane, Israel Journal of Mathematics 24 (1976), 217-233.
- [4] H. G. Eggleston, A condition for a compact plane set to be a union of finitely many convex sets, Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 76 (1974), 61-66.

- [5] M. Kojman, Classifying non-convexity in higher dimensions, Fundamenta Mathematicae, in press.
- [6] M. Kojman, M. A. Perles, and S. Shelah, Sets in a Euclidean space which are not a countable union of convex subsets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 70 (1990), 313– 342.
- [7] J. Matoušek and P. Valtr, On visibility and covering by convex sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics 113 (1999), 341-379.
- [8] M. A. Perles and S. Shelah, A closed (n + 1)-convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is the union of  $n^6$  convex sets, Israel Journal of Mathematics **70** (1990), 305-312.
- [9] F. A. Valentine, A three point convexity property, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 7 (1957), 1227-1235.